		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 19-CR-556(CBA)	
4	: U.S. Courthouse	
5	: Brooklyn, New York	
6	-against- : : TRANSCRIPT OF : STATUS CONFERENCE	
7	: :	
8	RICHARD PALMER, : December 22, 2020 : 12:00 p.m.	
9	Defendant. :	
10	X	
11	BEFORE: HONORABLE CAROL B. AMON, U.S.D.J.	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13		
14	For the Government: SETH D. DuCHARME, ESQ. Acting United States Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East	
15	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: DEVON LASH, ESQ.	
16	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
17	For the Defendant: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK, INC.	
18	BY: AMANDA DAVID, ESQ.	
19		
20	Court Reporter: Holly Driscoll, CSR, FCRR	
21	Chief Court Reporter  225 Cadman Plaza East	
22	Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 613-2274	
23	(110) 013-2214	
24	Donas addings granded by weekend 3 to the first to the first transfer of the first trans	
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.	

2 (THE FOLLOWING TAKES PLACE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE:) 1 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I just want to remind all the 3 parties on the line that recording proceedings is prohibited 4 by the Local Civil Rule 1.8. 5 This is criminal cause for a status conference, 19-CR-556, USA versus Richard Palmer. 6 7 May the parties please state your name for the record starting with the defense. 8 9 MS. DAVID: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Amanda David 10 on behalf of Mr. Richard Palmer who is also appearing by 11 video. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 MS. LASH: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Devon Lash on 14 behalf of the United States. 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon. And the defendant is -where is the defendant incarcerated, in MDC or MCC? 16 17 He's at the MCC. MS. DAVID: 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 Mr. Palmer, can you hear? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can, ma'am. 21 THE COURT: Okay. This matter we had thought was on for a guilty plea but I understand now that has not been 22 23 resolved, you were waiting for a report to share with the 24 government. Let me just ask, Ms. David, did you get that 25 report and did you provide it to the government?

MS. DAVID: Yes, Your Honor, we did receive the report. I did also discuss with the government whether or not they thought the report would result in a resolution that we proposed. It seems that that would not have been the case so we have not at this time shared the report in large part because it seems like we would not come to a resolution even in light of that report.

THE COURT: Now, I'm sorry, you mean you didn't give the report to the government?

MS. DAVID: No, Your Honor. We did discuss the report and what possible plea offers would be available and my understanding is that the government at this time would not be willing to provide a different plea offer or likely wouldn't provide a different plea offer despite the generation of the report.

THE COURT: Does the government want to be heard on this?

MS. LASH: Yes, Your Honor, that's generally correct. In preparation for receiving the mitigation report I prepared a report for the committee in our office that reviews these and the preliminary thought from that committee is that because of the defendant's criminal history and the charges that he faces under the indictment that we would likely not offer him anything less than the plea offer that we've offered. I communicated that to defense counsel and she

decided not to release the mitigation report to us.

THE COURT: Well, if that was the government's position, why have we been waiting all this time for this report if the report wasn't going to change your view in any event?

MS. LASH: Your Honor, in looking -- in preparing for the mitigation report, what we discovered is that because of Mr. Palmer's prior conviction in 2010 for promoting the sexual performance of a child under 17, that even under the distribution charges he faces a mandatory minimum of 15 years.

THE COURT: Of how long?

MS. LASH: 15 years and that was communicated to the defense. I think there was some disagreement on the legal outcome of that but at our last discussion it seems the defense counsel agrees with the government's position that that is the charges that the defendant faces.

THE COURT: So, he faces a mandatory minimum of 15 years under the current structure of the indictment; is that correct?

MS. LASH: There was no disagreement that he always faced a mandatory minimum of 15 years on the top count of sexual exploitation of a child. There was initially some disagreement of whether he faced 15 years on the distribution of child pornography charges and I think that the defense now agrees with the government that even under those lesser counts

5 he also faces a mandatory minimum of 15 years. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, so on each count you're saying he 3 faces a mandatory minimum of 15 years or on at least two of 4 the counts, is that --5 MS. LASH: So, he faces a mandatory minimum of 15 years under Counts One through Seven and Count Eight is 6 7 possession of child pornography and there he faces a mandatory minimum of ten years. So, I think the defense's hope was that 8 9 there would be an offer that would allow him to plead to that 10 very bottom count of the indictment and the government's 11 decision at this time is that that's not possible. 12 THE COURT: Well, it just seems like a lot of time 13 has gone by and that would have been the government's ultimate 14 position whether the report was produced or not, right? I 15 just --16 MS. LASH: Well --17 THE COURT: I'm sorry, we -- (audio drop) this 18 time -- I'm sorry, go ahead. 19 MS. LASH: No, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I didn't mean 20 to interrupt you. We certainly made clear to the defense that 21 we would look at and consider the report but they ultimately 22 decided not to produce it. 23 THE COURT: But that is because your decision to 24 them was no matter what the report says this is going to be 25 our position, I mean have I just accurately stated it?

6 No, Your Honor, I wouldn't say no matter 1 MS. LASH: 2 what the report said but due to the past conviction and the 3 mandatory minimum that the defendant faced on seven of the 4 eight counts, I think I told the defense that it was highly 5 unlikely. THE COURT: Then I guess the question is 6 Okay. 7 where do we go from here? 8 Ms. David, you indicated you wanted to make motions. 9 MS. DAVID: Yes, Your Honor, I think because we're 10 not able to resolve the case at this time and I know the Court 11 has been patiently awaiting a possible resolution that it 12 maybe makes sense at this point to set a motion schedule. 13 THE COURT: Do you know what motions you would 14 likely make here? 15 Based on my current review of the MS. DAVID: 16 discovery and in discussions with Mr. Palmer, we would likely 17 be filing a motion for the Court to consider dismissing the 18 top count, the attempted sexual exploitation of a child. 19 THE COURT: On what grounds? 20 MS. DAVID: On the grounds that the conduct in this 21 case doesn't meet the DOST, D-O-S-T, standards that are 22 required by the statute and current case law. 23 THE COURT: Is that apparent on the face of the 24 indictment?

MS. DAVID:

Your Honor, we would argue that it is

25

7 apparent from the face of the indictment and based on the 1 2 information that we have received so far in discovery. 3 THE COURT: Any other motions that you envision 4 making? 5 MS. DAVID: I don't anticipate -- I don't anticipate at this time making any other additional motions but would 6 7 like the opportunity to consider a possible motion to suppress 8 Mr. Palmer's statement. 9 THE COURT: His statement? 10 MS. DAVID: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Ms. David, when can you file 11 12 these motions? 13 MS. DAVID: Your Honor, I would ask for a date at the beginning of February which I understand is a little bit 14 15 longer but because of the difficulties we've had getting in 16 touch with our clients at the facility in light of the 17 lockdown, I do want the opportunity to be able to discuss this 18 with Mr. Palmer before filing it. 19 THE COURT: Is it acceptable if I deem you to have 20 made an oral motion today and then give you a date to file 21 your papers? 22 I'm sorry, Your Honor? MS. DAVID: 23 THE COURT: I said is it acceptable to you if I deem 24 that you've made an oral motion today to dismiss the top count 25 of the indictment and I'll give you until February 4th to file

8 1 your papers? 2 MS. DAVID: Yes, Your Honor, that works for the 3 defense. 4 THE COURT: And so then time will be excluded from today's date on the basis of pending motions. So, if you 5 could file your papers on the 4th, if the government could 6 7 respond on February 18th. 8 MS. LASH: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And do we have a slot open on February 25th? 10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: February 25th, Judge. 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, we do. 14 THE COURT: I guess we have to make it at noon. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, just to coordinate with 15 16 MCC. 17 Okay. How long, assuming the motion is THE COURT: 18 for some reason not successful, how long is it going to take 19 to try this case does the government believe? 20 MS. LASH: Your Honor, at this time the government 21 doesn't anticipate that it would take more than three days to 22 try this case. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MS. LASH: Do we have trial dates in April, let me 25 just ask Ms. Campbell, or jury return dates in April?

9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: There are return dates in 1 2 April, Judge, but I can't confirm if the schedule -- because 3 of the January date that has been pushed back now to February 4 and March but there is a date in April. 5 THE COURT: What is the date, the jury return date? THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: One second, Judge, I have to 6 7 pull up Brenna's email. 8 (Pause.) 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: So, the first jury return 10 date would be April 5th. THE COURT: 11 Is there one on April 19th, just to give 12 us a few more --13 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Judge. 14 THE COURT: All right, let's set it down for April 19th. 15 16 MS. DAVID: I'm sorry, Your Honor --17 What's the matter, Ms. David? THE COURT: 18 MS. DAVID: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I'm actually not 19 available on that date. Would it be possible to do the next 20 jury return date? 21 THE COURT: What would that be? 22 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: That would be May --23 THE COURT: May 3rd. 24 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: May 3rd, correct. 25 THE COURT: Okay, we'll put it down for May 3rd.

10 1 that acceptable to everyone? MS. LASH: Yes, Your Honor. 2 3 MS. DAVID: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then the issues will be briefed and then we'll hear argument on the 25th and go 5 6 from there. 7 Anything else? MS. LASH: Your Honor, just one more thing from the 8 9 government, I wanted to put the Court on notice that the 10 government is going to be filing a superseding trial 11 We don't intend to drop the top count so I can't 12 see how that would affect the defense's motion but -- and 13 we'll do it just as soon as we can get a grand jury to hear 14 the superseding indictment back. 15 THE COURT: What is the anticipated change in the 16 indictment? 17 MS. LASH: The government intends to add a coercion 18 count to the indictment and we'll streamline some of the 19 distribution counts which we charged by image. 20 THE COURT: What is the effect of adding the 21 coercion count, does that enhance the penalties or --22 No, Your Honor, I don't think it enhances MS. LASH: 23 the penalties here but in looking at the conduct and the 24 discovery, we think it is appropriate to add here. 25 THE COURT: When you say coercion count, in

connection with which, the first count of the indictment or what is the -- the coercion count relates to what?

MS. LASH: Yes, Your Honor, it would be the coercion and enticement of John Doe, the minor named in the top count of the indictment.

THE COURT: Okay, but is that -- does that count, the coercion count also carry a 15 year mandatory minimum?

MS. LASH: No, Your Honor, the coercion count would carry a ten year mandatory minimum.

THE COURT: Can you -- you don't have -- I'm sorry,
I'm not making any sense. When do you believe you'll have a
grand jury? You don't have a grand jury right now?

MS. LASH: Not one that is consistent enough for me to give you a date. Our hope is to file this within the first two weeks of January. We've lost three of our weekly grand juries so we're down -- we are empaneling new grand juries in the middle of January but we're hoping to do it before then.

THE COURT: All right. So, it would be important to do this as soon as you can because it might affect Ms. David's motion; in other words, if that adds another element, she was indicating that she believed that on the face of the indictment you couldn't make out the first charge which is -- you know, generally those are difficult motions because you have to hear what the evidence is. I suppose if the evidence were undisputed or if she's not disputing the government's

```
12
    version of the facts, maybe there would be a possible motion
1
 2
    but she may also want to address this coercion count in her
 3
    motion papers, so the sooner you can do that the better. But,
 4
    Ms. David, I guess you understand, knowing the facts of the
5
    case, what the coercion is related to.
                           I do, Your Honor, yeah, it would be
6
              MS. DAVID:
7
    helpful to see the new indictment obviously before filing.
8
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Well, let's hope you can do that
9
    because your papers are due in early February.
10
              Anything else that either side needs to address?
11
              MS. LASH:
                          Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
13
              MS. DAVID:
                           Nothing from the defense.
14
                           Thank you.
              THE COURT:
15
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you all. Happy
16
    holidays.
17
              MS. LASH:
                          Thank you.
18
               (Time noted: 12:21 p.m.)
19
               (End of proceedings.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## I, HOLLY DRISCOLL, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT:

(A) THE FOREGOING PAGES REPRESENT AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE TRANSCRIPTION OF THE ENTIRE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING BEFORE THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, JUDGE AMON PRESIDING, IN THE MATTER OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. RICHARD PALMER, 19-CR-556(CBA), HELD DECEMBER 22, 2020 AND (B) THESE PAGES CONSTITUTE THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDING.

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR
CHIEF COURT REPORTER